UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,024	09/15/2003	Khalil Amine	Q201-US1	2546
	7590 03/21/2008 RY ELIZABETH BUSH ILLION LLC BOX 923127 MAR, CA 91392-3127  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
QUALLION LI	LC	ECHELMEYER, ALIX ELIZABETH		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/21/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/6	663,024	AMINE ET AL.			
		Exa	miner	Art Unit			
		Alix	Elizabeth Echelmeyer	1795			
7 Period for F	he MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHOR WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M as of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn iod for reply is specified above, the maximum st reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months a atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE ( of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I nunication. atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be the A and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ R€	esponsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>08 Januar</u>	<u>/ 2008</u> .				
2a) <u></u> Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•	<del>-</del> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
clo	sed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex par</i>	te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	of Claims						
4a) 5)□ Cl: 6)□ Cl: 7)□ Cl:	aim(s) <u>1,4,5,7,9,13-33 and 45-49</u> Of the above claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> aim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> aim(s) <u>1,4,5,7,9,13-18,21-33 and aim(s)</u> aim(s) <u>aim(s)</u> are subject to restrict	is/are withdrawr 45-49 is/are reje	n from consideration.				
Application	Papers						
10)∐ The Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are: plicant may not request that any objected to placement drawing sheet(s) including to oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted action to the drawir the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeyance. Se required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)			_				
2) Notice of 3) Informati	References Cited (PTO-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  (s)/Mail Date	TO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

below.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed January 8, 2008. Claims 1, 30 and 47 have been amended. Claims 19 and 20 were previously withdrawn. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 9, 13-18, 21-33 and 45-49 are pending and are rejected for the reasons given

### Claim Objections

2. The objection of claim 30 is withdrawn in light of the amendment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejections of claims 47-49 are withdrawn in light of Applicant's arguments.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 9, 13-18, 21-33 and 45-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The value of n, as found in the formula of claim 1, cannot be less than two since for values of n less than two the molecule could not be cyclic. Additionally, it appears from the specification that Applicant does not have support for values of n less than 4 (see Examples 1-3). Thus, if

Application/Control Number: 10/663,024 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

the claim was amended to include values of n less than 4, the amendment would add new matter. Support for values of n up to 100 is also found in the specification (see originally filed claims, [0009], [0027]).

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed January 8, 2008, with respect to the Miura et al. Patent (US 6, 858,351) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of the claims has been withdrawn. The cyclic compound of Miura et al. contains a hydrogen atom where Applicant's compound contains an alkyl or aryl group. Additionally, the side chains of Miura et al. are used for cross linking.

### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1, 30 and 47-49 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 11, 12 and 23 of copending Application No. 10/663,023. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of Application No. 10/663,023 require one or more siloxane polymers, and anticipate those disclosed in the instantly claimed invention. Copending claims 1, 11, 12 and 23 contain all the limitations of the instant claims 1, 30 and 47-49 and thus anticipate the instant claims.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer whose telephone number is (571)272-1101. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Susy N. Tsang-Foster can be reached on 571-272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,024 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer Examiner Art Unit 1795

aee

/Susy N Tsang-Foster/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795